**Texas Community Development Block Grant**

**Phase Two Solicitation for Administrative Services**

**Evaluation of Proposals**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Applicant Community: |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation Team:  (at least three persons required, including one local official) | **Name of Evaluator** | | | | | | | | | **Title** | |
|  | | | | | | | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | |  | |
|  | | | | | | | | |  | |
| Description of Anticipated Project: |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date Solicitation Sent: |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Responses received: | **Name of Firm** | | | | | | | | **Date Response Received** | | |
|  | | | | | | | |  | | |
|  | | | | | | | |  | | |
|  | | | | | | | |  | | |
|  | | | | | | | |  | | |
|  | | | | | | | |  | | |
|  |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation of Proposals: | Enter for each criterion and proposal:   * Points awarded (if scoring was used on Form P506), or * Evaluation such as Highly Advantageous (H), Advantageous (A), Not Advantageous (N), or Unacceptable (U). | | | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Firm | Experience | Prior Work Performance | Capacity to Perform | Proposed Cost | Other \_\_\_\_\_\_ | | Other \_\_\_\_\_\_ | Notes | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | | | | |
|  |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Firm Recommended: |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Firm Selected: |  | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | \* If Firm Selected differs from Firm recommended by Evaluators, provide explanation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conflict of Interest Evaluated by: |  | | | | | * No conflict exists | | | | | * Request for waiver submitted to TDA |
| Date Awarded by Governing Body: |  | | | | | | | | | | |
| Signature of Lead Evaluator: |  | | | | | | | | | | |

9/1/2019